App. F. IX-4

1949-50
(CB)

File No. 366-49

Previous References.

Serial Nos. 1, 2

Later References.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos. 2

Part B for March 50

SUBJECT

Representation of Sri H V. Seshachalam Secretary to Govt. P W D Delhi for grant of 6 months leave from date of his Supersession.

26-4-50

No. 12571/CB

To

Sri H. V. Seshachalam.
Secretary to Govt (leave)
P.W. Delhi.

7/6/

Serial No.

Representation Sri H.V. Viswanath, Secretary to Govt, P.W.D (on leave preparatory to retirement requesting that he may be granted 6 months leave on full average salary from the date of his Superannuation. *

2) Sri H.V. Viswanath had previously requested that he may be continued as Secretary, P.W.D till the date of Superannuation and granted 6 months leave on full average salary thereafter. His request was negatived for the reasons explained in paras 32 to 52 of the note in the linked file (flag IV) and he was directed to proceed on leave. In Govt notification of 28/12/49 he was granted privilege leave of absence ~~for~~ from 13/12/49 to 4/7/50 preparatory to retirement from Service.

3) His subsequent representation making a similar request was considered at a meeting of the Council held on 5/1/50 and it was resolved that "the leave asked for cannot be granted." The present request of Sri H.V. Viswanath for the grant of 6 months leave after the date of Superannuation is for orders.

4) In P.W.D. II he makes another request namely that the D.A and the High Price allowance drawn by them during the last three years of his service may

X. 1)
The Hon'ble Chief Minister's minute on the representation:—
"Chief Secretary, for a note"
sd/- K.C.R
14/7/50

'F' 'Q'
Linked file

'Y'
Linked file

F. E.
Linked - 2568/CB

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

Representation of Sri V. Viswesvaraiah -

File No. Rlw Secretariat P.W.D. 3620/43 of 195 . | General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.

be allowed to count for pension. This is also inadmissible under the rules. The solitary instance where a concession has been shown is Sri N.V. Sundaram Rlw Asst Secretary to Govt, Fd Dept, Sri N.V. Sundaram was retired from service exactly on the date on which the Govt order revising the pay of Subordinate Gazetted Offrs came into force and was thereby denied the benefit of enhanced pay. For this reason he was permitted to count the duty allowance drawn by him during the last 36 months of his service for pension. (The relevant collection is not readily available)

Obviously, therefore, Sri Viswesvarayya's case is not in all fours with that of Sri N.V. Sundaram.

5) For orders.

13/3/50

6) Both requests of the Officer are strictly inadmissible, and the orders of Govt. on one of them, namely, Grant of leave for six months have already been communicated to him.

7) He has, however, been making repeated representations requesting reconsideration of the orders passed and asking for relief in one form or another. This is for orders.

8) Refer. para 1.

Chief Secy

14/3/50

14.3.50.

CHIEF SECRETARY 9209 15 MAR 1950 GOVT. OF MYSORE

Serial No.

(9) Reference para 1.

Chief Minr) 16/3/50 sd/- 15/3/50

Office of the Chief Minister
No. 7505
Date of Receipt 16/3

(10) I am afraid that no reconsideration is called for under the circumstances explained.

7462 23/3

Recd. 24/3/50.

(11) Send replies & file. 23/3/50 sd/- 23/3/50

If Govt wish accept letter for approval by 23/3/50

Issue sd/- 24/3/50

23.3.50.

To

Sri H.V. Visveswaraiah
Secretary to Govt, PWD (on leave)
No. 1257/CB of 24/3/50
rejecting his request for the grant of 6 months leave from the date of his superannuation

sheet

SiUKS 3620 CO

To

Sri K.Changalaraya Reddy,B.A.,B.L.,
Chief Minister.

Sir,

I beg to submit herewith a copy of the representation I have presented to the Home Minister regarding the refusal of the leave of six months to me from the date of superannuation.

The Home Minister in charge of the P.W.D. has recommended favourable consideration and the Law Minister in charge of Electricity has also supported it.The Financial Secretariat has also,it is believed,noted that there are precedents to the grant of such leave.It has however not been sanctioned by Government,it appears chiefly on financial and technical grounds that it is not according to the service rules and that the leave has not been applied for before superannuation and refused by Government.

This leave of six months after superannuation has been applied for during November,1949 before superannuation and was under consideration of Government as intimated by the Chief Secretary in his Memo No.6655-58/CB dated 28.12.49. The grant of this leave however is outside the service rules for which there have been numerous precedents both in the P.W.D. and in the other Departments.SriM.Narasimhaiya and SriB.S.Narasinga Rao have been granted such leave long after superannuation and during extension periods when all leave would have lapsed,and Sri K.D.Joshi was granted such leave when no application for the leave had been made or refused before date of superannuation.

In my case due to the reorganisation of the Department I had to go on leave nearly two months before the date of my superannuation though this leave was of no benefit to me and was not proposed to be utilised at all.At that time the Home Minister was kind enough to state that on this account my application for the six months leave would be sympathetically considered and has also minuted accordingly. The Law Minister in charge of Electricity has also agreed to it.

During my last interview you were pleased to state that if the Ministers in charge of the Public Works and Electricity for which I was Secretary recommended it you would have no objection to the grant of this leave.

My pension if this leave is not sanctioned would be reduced by nearly Rs.30/- per month and there would be a reduction of nearly Rs.3000/-in salary during these six months and in the present hard times this would be a very serious handicap to me.I have put in a service of nearly thirty years of unblemished and conscientious service and this gracious act of Government on the eve of my retirement would be of inestimable value to me.

I therefore pray that Government may be pleased to reconsider the matter and grant me this leave.

Bangalore,
13th February,1950.

Yours faithfully,

To

The Minister for Home,
Government of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

I have been intimated by the Chief Secretary in his No.9534/Cs dated nil, that my representation praying for the grant of six months leave after superannuation has not been sanctioned by Government.

I beg to make the following submission:

Though I have put in a service of nearly 30 years I have been a Superintending Engineer for only 21 months and the pension that I would get would be very meagre as the average for three years would e be based on the much lower salary as Executive Engineer for the remaining 15 months. I had therefore represented that I may be granted an extension for one year after superannuation or at least for combined leave on full salary for six months afterc that date. However the reorganisation of the Department and the amalgamation of the posts of the Secretary and of the Special Chief Engineer put me to the necessity of going on leave even before the date of superannuation though this leave has been of no benefit to me and was not proposed to be utilised.

It was under the above circumstances that I prayed for the grant of this leave of six months after superannuation. This concession if graciously granted by Government would benefit my pension to the extent of about Rs.30/-per month and would further enable me to adjust myself to the new and difficult circumstances that would be caused to me in these hard times. My entire service bears an unblemished record of integrity and devotion to duty and I pray that Government may be pleased to accord recognition by this small concession for which there have been numerous precedents.

Yours faithfully,

M.V.Visvesvarayya.

Secretary, P.W. and Electrical Depts.
(on leave)

Bangalore,
30th January, 1950.

COPY.

Visvesvarayya
12/2/50.

Sl. VIC 5

To

The Minister for Home,
Government of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Office of
No. T-4367
Date

Chief Secy
R.T.P. 15/2
CB 17.2.50

Sir,

I beg to submit herewith a copy of the representation I have submitted to the Chief Minister in connection with the refusal of Government to sanction me the six months combined leave after superannuation that I had prayed for.

At the time of superannuation I have actually earned three months privelege leave and well before that date I had applied for this leave to be sanctioned to me after the date of superannuation. If Government is not pleased to sanction me the combined leave of six months as already prayed for I request that at least the privelege leave of three months that was actually at my credit at the date of superannuation may kindly be sanctioned after the date of superannuation.

Due to the reorganisation of the P.W.D. and the amalgamation of the posts of secretary and the Special Chief Engineer I was asked to go on leave, by Government, as soon as the Special Chief Engineer repot reported. As this leave had to be availed by me to suit the convenience of Government and was a distinct loss to me and so was not intended to be availed of, I pray that this may not be counted against the privelege leave earned by me and request that the full period of privelege leave at my credit on the date of superannuation may kindly be sanctioned from that date.

I also pray that the duty allowance and the High price allowance drawn by me during the last 36 months of my service may be counted in calculating the pension that would be due to me as there have been precedents for such a concession.

Yours faithfully,

Bangalore,
15th February, 1950.

Copy submitted to The Minister for Law and Labour for favour of information.

3359/CB
Subjs 1/1

Chief 3/1/1

To



The Minister for Home,
Government of Mysore,
Bangalore.

608 S
CB
1/2

Sir,

I have been intimated by the Chief Secretary in his No.9534/CB dated nil, that my representation praying for the grant of 6 months leave after superannuation has not been sanctioned by Government. I beg to make the following submission:

Though I have put in a service of nearly 30 years I have been a Superintending Engineer for only 21 months and the pension that I would get would be very meagre as the average for three years would be based on the much lower salary as Executive Engineer for the remaining 15 months. I had therefore represented that I may be granted an extension for one year after superannuation or at least for combined leave on full salary for 6 months after that date. However the reorganisation of the Department and the amalgamation of the posts of the Secretary and of the special Chief Engineer put me to the necessity of going on leave even before the date of superannuation though this leave has been of no benefit to me and was not proposed to be utilised.

It was under the above circumstances that I prayed for the grant of this leave of six months after superannuation. This concession if graciously granted by Government would benefit my pension to the extent of about Rs.30/-per month and would further enable me to adjust myself to the new and difficult circumstances that would be caused to me in these hard times. My entire service bears an unblemished record of integrity and devotion to duty and I pray that Government may be pleased to accord regognition by this small concession for which there have been numerous precedents.

Yours faithfully,
Hanumaraya
Secretary, P.W.D.& Electrical Depts.
(on leave)

Bangalore,
30th January, 1950.

To

Sri K.Chengalaraya Reddy, B.A.,B.L.,
Chief Minister.

Sir,

I beg to submit herewith a copy of the representation I have presented to the Home Minister regarding the refusal of the leave of six months to me from the date of superannuation.

The Home Minister in charge of the P.W.D.has recommended favourable consideration and the Law Minister in Charge of Electricity has also supported it. The Financial Secretariat has also, it is believed,noted that there are precedents to the grant of such leave. It has however not been sanctioned by Government,it appears chiefly on financial and technical grounds that it is not according to the service rules and that the leave has not been applied for before superannuation and refused by Government.

This leave of six months after superannuation has been applied for during November,1949 before superannuation and was under consideration of Government as intimated by the Chief Secretary in his Memo No.6655-58/GS dated 28.12.49. The grant of this leave however, is outside the service rules for which there have been numerous precedents both in the P.W.D. and in the other departments. Sri H.Narasimhaiya and Sri B.S.Narasinga Rao have been granted such leave long after superannuation and during extension periods when all leave would have lapsed,and Sri K.D.Joshi was granted such leave when no application for the leave had been made or refused before date of superannuation.

In my case due to the reorganisation of the Department I had to go on leave nearly two months before the date of my superannuation though this leave was of no benefit to me and was not proposed to be utilised at all.At that time the Home Minister was kind enough to state that on this account my application for the six months leave would be sympathetically considered and has also minuted accordingly.The Law Minister in charge of Electricity has also agreed to it.

During my last interview you were pleased to state that if the Ministers in charge of the Public Works and Electricity for which I was Secretary recommended it you would have no objection to the grant of this leave.It has however not been sanctioned.

My pension if this leave is not granted would be reduced by nearly Rs.30/-per month and there would be a reduction of nearly Rs.3000/- in salary during these six months and in the present hard times this would be a very serious handicap to me. I have put in a service of nearly thirty years of unblemished and conscientious service and this gracious act of Government on the eve of my retirement would be of inestimable value to me.

I therefore pray that Government may be pleased to reconsider the matter and grant me this leave.

Yours faithfully,

Bangalore,
6th February,1950.

14

Copy of the above is submitted to the Minister for Law and Labour for favour of information and sanction of the leave prayed for

Aravi Veeraraya
16.2.1950.

3976 Dlrs. P1882 H
Dtd 23/2/50
CB

To

The Minister for Home,
Government of Mysore,
Bangalore.

OFFICE OF THE HOME MINISTER P4887 16/2/50

PWD
15/2
Bn.

Sir,

I beg to submit herewith a copy of the representation I have submitted to the Chief Minister in connection with the refusal of Government to sanction me the six months combined leave after superannuation that I had prayed for.

At the time of superannuation I have actually earned three months privelege leave and well before that date I had applied for this leave to be sanctioned to me after the date of superannuation. If Government is not pleased to sanction me the combined leave of six months as already prayed for I request that at least the privelege leave of three months that was actually at my credit at the date of superannuation may kindly be sanctioned after the date of superannuation.

Due to the reorganisation of the P.W.D. and the amalgamation of the posts of secretary and the Special Chief Engineer I was asked to go on leave, by Government, as soon as the Special Chief Engineer repot reported. As this leave had to be availed by me to suit the convenience of Government and was a distinct loss to me and so was not intended to be availed of, I pray that this may not be counted against the privelege leave earned by me and request that the full period of privelege leave at my credit on the date of superannuation may kindly be sanctioned from that date.

I also pray that the duty allowance and the High price allowance drawn by me during the last 36 months of my service may be counted in calculating the pension that would be due to me as there have been precedents for such a concession.

CHIEF SECRETARY
4 MAR 1950
GOVT. OF MYSORE

Yours faithfully,

Bangalore,
1st February, 1950.

46/4/B Secrt 6/3/50.

Subject
This may be referred to the Cadre Branch for further action.
24/2/50.
Chy Secy w/c 24/2/50
Bdn 25-2-50
Ide

P1883
PW 23/2/50 -A

To

Sri K.Chengalaraya Reddy, B.A.,B.L.,
Chief Minister.

Sir,

I beg to submit herewith a copy of the representation I have presented to the Home Minister regarding the refusal of the leave of six months to me from the date of superannuation.

The Home Minister in charge of the P.W.D.has recommended favourable consideration and the Law Minister in Charge of Electricity has also supported it. The Financial Secretariat has also, it is believed,noted that there are precedents to the grant of such leave. It has however not been sanctioned by Government,it appears chiefly on financial and technical grounds that it is not according to the service rules and that the leave has not been applied for before superannuation and refused by Government.

This leave of six months after superannuation has been applied for during November,1949 before superannuation and was under consideration of Government as intimated by the Chief Secretary in his Memo No.6655-58/CB dated 28.12.49. The grant of this leave, however, outside the service rules for which there have been numerous precedents both in the P.W.D. and in the other departments. Sri H.Narasimhaiya and Sri B.S.Narasinga Rao have been granted such leave long after superannuation and during extension periods when all leave would have lapsed,and Sri K.D.Joshi was granted such leave when no application for the leave had been made or refused before date of superannuation.

In my case due to the reorganisation of the Department I had to go on leave nearly two months before the date of my superannuation though this leave was of no benefit to me and was not proposed to be utilised at all.At that time the Home Minister was kind enough to state that on this account my application for the six months leave would be sympathetically considered and has also minuted accordingly.The Law Minister in charge of Electricity has also agreed to it.

During my last interview you were pleased to state that if the Ministers in charge of the Public Works and Electricity for which I was Secretary recommended it,you would have no objection to the grant of this leave.It has however not been sanctioned.

My pension if this leave is not granted would be reduced by nearly Rs.30/-per month and there would be a reduction of nearly Rs.3000/- in salary during these six months and in the present hard times this would be a very serious handicap to me. I have put in a service of nearly thirty years of unblemished and conscientious service and this gracious act of Government on the eve of my retirement would be of inestimable value to me.

I therefore pray that Government may be pleased to reconsider the matter and grant me this leave.

Yours faithfully,

Bangalore,
Cth ebry.,1950.
T4

Copy of the above is submitted to the Minister for Home for favour of information and sanction of the leave prayed for.

Aravamudu Iyengar
16.2.1950.

To

Sri K.Changalaraya Reddy,B.A.,B.L.,
Chief Minister.

Sir,

I beg to submit herewith a copy of the representation I have presented to the Home Minister regarding the refusal of the leave of six months to me from the date of superannuation.

The Home Minister in charge of the P.W.D. has recommended favourable consideration and the Law Minister in charge of Electricity has also supported it.The Financial Secretariat has also,it is believed,noted that there are precedents to the grant of such leave.It has however not been sanctioned by Government,it appears chiefly on financial and technical grounds that it is not according to the service rules and that the leave has not been applied for before superannuation and refused by Government.

This leave of six months after superannuation has been applied for during November,1949 before superannuation and was under consideration of Government as intimated by the Chief Secretary in his Memo No.6655-58/CB dated 28.12.49. The grant of this leave however is outside the service rules for which there have been numerous precedent both in the P.W.D. and in the other Departments.SriM.Narasimhaiya and SriB.S.Narasinga Rao have been granted such leave long after superannuation and during extension periods when all leave would have lapsed,and Sri K.D.Joshi was granted such leave when no application for the leave had been made or refused before date of superannuation.

In my case due to the reorganisation of the Department I had to go on leave nearly two months before the date of my superannuation though this leave was of no benefit to me and was not proposed to be utilised at all.At that time the Home Minister was kind enough to state that on this account my application for the six months leave would be sympathetically considered and has also minuted accordingly. The Law Minister in charge of Electricity has also aggreed to it.

During my last interview you were pleased to state that if the Ministers in charge of the Public Works and Electricity for which I was Secretary recommended it you would have no objection to the grant of this leave.

My pension if this leave is not sanctioned would be reduced by nearly Rs.30/- per month and there would be a reduction of nearly Rs.3000/-in salary during these six months and in the present hard times this would be a very serious handicap to me.I have put in a service of nearly thirty years of unblemished and conscientious service and this gracious act of Government on the eve of my retirement would be of inestimable value to me.

I therefore pray that Government may be pleased to reconsider the matter and grant me this leave.

Bangalore,
13th February,1950.

Yours faithfully,

Copy forwarded with compliments to The Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore,Bangalore, for information.

Avvaveswarayya
13/2/50.

CHIEF SECRETARY
14 FEB 1950
GOVT. OF MYSORE

16/2/50

To

The Minister for Home,
Government of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

I have been intimated by the Chief Secretary in his No.9534/CB dated nil, that my representation praying for the grant of six months leave after superannuation has not been sanctioned by Government.

I beg to make the following submission:

Though I have put in a service of nearly 30 years I have been a Superintending Engineer for only 21 months and the pension that I would get would be very meagre as the average for three years would be be based on the much lower salary as Executive Engineer for the remaining 15 months. I had therefore represented that I may be granted an extension for one year after superannuation or at least for combined leave on full salary for six months aftere that date. However the reorganisation of the Department and the amalgamation of the posts of the Secretary and of the Special Chief Engineer put me to the necessity of going on leave even before the date of superannuation though this leave has been of no benefit to me and was not proposed to be utilised.

It was under the above circumstances that I prayed for the grant of this leave of six months after superannuation. This concession if graciously granted by Government would benefit my pension to the extent of about Rs.30/-per month and would further enable me to adjust myself to the new and difficult circumstances that would be caused to me in these hard times. My entire service bears an unblemished record of integrity and devotion to duty and I pray that Government may be pleased to accord recognition by this small concession for which there have been numerous precedents.

Yours faithfully,

H.V.Visvesvarayya.

Secretary, P.W. and Electrical Depts.
(on leave)

Bangalore,
30th January, 1950.

COPY.

Visvesvarayya
13/2/50.

12571/50

24th March 50

xxxxxxxxxx
xxxxxxxxxxxx

Sri H.V. Visvesvariah, B.E.,
Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department (On leave),
BANGALORE.

Sir,

With reference to your representation dated the 13th February 1950 addressed to the Hon'ble Chief Minister, praying for the grant of six months' leave on full average salary from the date of your attaining age of superannuation and for other concessions, I am directed to state that Government regret that orders already passed cannot be reconsidered.

Yours faithfully,

24/3/50.

for Chief Secretary to Government.

G. R. S. App. F. G. 3.]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

No 1257/CB 24/4/50

Sri A Viswanath, B.E.,
Secretary to Govt, PWD (on leave)

Sir

With reference to your representation dated the 13th February 50 addressed to the Hon'ble Chief Minister praying for the grant of 6 months leave on full average salary from the date of your attaining age of superannuation, etc and for other concessions I am directed to state that Govt regret that orders already passed cannot be reconsidered

Yours faithfully

Secy 24/3/50
for Chief Secretary
24/3/50

23.3.50.

WD 06072—GPB—25,000—21-6-49